

Dear CSOS,

Please see below Mr Theo Fitchat's response to the allegations made by Mrs Mariné Franken regarding Mr Fitchat's surveillance cameras as indicated in your email dated 31 March 2022.

1. The allegations in Mrs Franken's complaint are denied by Mr Fitchat.
2. Mrs Franken's complaint against Mr Fitchat is the same as Mrs Johnson's complaint (CSOS 7648/WC/21, 24 February 2022). Neither complaint is supported by evidence.
3. Mr Fitchat's surveillance cameras do not infringe on Mrs Franken's or her childrens' right to privacy.
4. Mr Fitchat's surveillance cameras are not capable of moving 360 degrees. They have a PTZ (pan tilt zoom) function. Static cameras can be moved manually, and do not provide more protection against privacy infringement than PTZ cameras.
5. The purpose of Mr Fitchat's surveillance cameras are for securing his property.
6. Mr Fitchat's family has been harassed, and his house vandalised, by occupants of Mrs Franken's house since 2020 when she moved into the house next to him.
7. The house of another resident of the estate in which Mr Fitchat and Mrs Franken live was recently burglarised. Mr Fitchat is concerned that his house may also be broken into if his surveillance cameras are removed.
8. Mrs Franken has in any case indicated that she will be relocating to a new house, so Mr Fitchat's surveillance cameras will soon no longer be a problem for her.
9. Mr Fitchat's surveillance cameras will not be removed.

You are welcome to contact me for any further information.

Kind regards,

Theo Fitchat

[sent electronically and thus unsigned]